	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/523,101	BAGALA' RAMPAZZO ET AL.
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	Marie R. Yamnitzky	1794	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) *Marie R. Yamnitzky*.   (3)_____.

(2) *Ashley Pezzner*.   (4)_____.

Date of Interview: *13 March 2009*.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic   b)☐ Video Conference
  c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant   2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes   e)☒ No.
  If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: *7,13,14,16 and 17*.

Identification of prior art discussed: *None*.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☒ was reached.   g)☐ was not reached.   h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *Applicant's representative authorized an examiner's amendment to put the application in condition for allowance. The changes were initially discussed during a telephonic interview on 12 March 2009. See examiner's amendment for specific changes. Applicant need not provide a statement of the substance of the interview*.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Marie R. Yamnitzky/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794	